### LEGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES

**RICHARD IVORY, Solicitor,** 

Head of Legal and Democratic Services

Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership

Southampton City Council Licensing Services Civic Centre Southampton SO14 7LY Direct dial: 023 8083 2749 Please address all correspondence to: Licensing – Southampton City Council, PO Box 1767, Southampton, SO18 9LA



Your ref:

Our ref:

Grosvenor Casinos Ltd Statesman House Stafferton Way Maidenhead SL6 1AY E-mail: martin.grout@southampton.gov.uk

Please ask Martin Grout for:

14<sup>th</sup> November 2014

Dear Sirs,

# GAMBLING ACT 2005 GROSVENOR CASINOS LTD; ROYAL PIER; MAYFLOWER PARK AND LEISUREWORLD APPLICATIONS

Further to my letter of 10<sup>th</sup> November I am pleased to confirm that the Licensing Committee has been arranged for Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> December 2014 at 10 am in the Council Chamber at the Civic Centre. You are welcome to attend but we would ask you to submit any written submissions prior to the meeting such that it can be included within the contents of the report. The purpose of the written submission is to allow Members to be aware of the arguments in advance and not to prevent you or your client from addressing the committee on the day.

We believe that the attached correspondence is relevant to the specific issue of the Stage 2 commencement date and accordingly propose to include this within the committee report.

Please let me know if you disagree, with reasons, with our opinion and also if you believe we have omitted any document that you feel should be included.

I would be grateful if you could respond within the next 7 days and detail your position with respect to the issue in hand, namely the proposal to defer the commencement of Stage 2 of the process.

We will then send you a copy of the report prior to the hearing so that each party will be in a position to identify the position of each applicant.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Macon

Locum Licensing Officer for Head of Legal and Democratic Services

# APPENDIX 1 GROSVENOR CASINOS LTD

# DETAILS OF DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED RELEVANT TO THE ISSUE OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF STAGE 2 OF THE LARGE CASINO PROCESS.

		Date	Time
1.	e mail Martin Grout to Simon Bishop	5/9/2014	10.08
2.	e mail Simon Bishop to Martin Grout	24/9/2014	16.00
3.	e mail Martin Grout to Simon Bishop	30/9/2014	15.40
4.	e mail Martin Grout to Simon Bishop	7/10/2014	08.04
5.	Notes of meeting 30 <sup>th</sup> September 2014	7/10/2014	
6.	Letter to Grosvenor Casinos Ltd re RPW	7/10/2014	
7.	Letter to Grosvenor Casinos Ltd re Leisureworld	7/10/2014	
8.	Letter to Grosvenor Casinos Ltd	10/11/2014	

From: Grout, Martin [mailto:Martin.Grout@southampton.gov.uk]
Sent: 05 September 2014 10:08
To: Grout, Martin
Cc: Andrew Cotton; 'elaine.whittle@rank.com'; 'joanne.morgan@bonddickinson.com'; 'davidnroberts@eversheds.com'; 'hagan@harrishagan.com'; 'Macgregor, Ewen'; 'Grimes, Becca'; 'Francesca Burnett-Hall'; 'Philip Kolvin QC'; Ivory, Richard
Subject: Stage 1 Licensing Committee meeting

Dear All

Please note that the decision notices are now available on <u>http://www.southampton.gov.uk/business/licensing/lgcsno/default.aspx</u>. The minutes of the meeting will be available in a few days time but I'm sure the important documents are on the link above.

Thank you to all the applicants who attended yesterday and helped the meeting go smoothly and to finish at a very reasonable hour. I apologise to those who had their representations withdrawn at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour but at least they were withdrawn.

Could I ask you to have a look at the stage 2 documentation which can be found at: <u>http://www.southampton.gov.uk/business/licensing/lgcsno/lcapps.aspx</u> and let me have any comments by Friday 19<sup>th</sup> September. If you focus on documents 12 – 20 it would be helpful but please note that document 16 will be updated with the current list of Members so you need not worry about that. As for the Advisory Panel (17) we are finalising those and when that is finalised I will update you on the Panel members.

We propose to commence Stage 2, subject to any appeal on the Stage 1 decisions, on 6<sup>th</sup> October with a closing date of 6<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Kind regards

Martin Grout

Locum Licensing Officer

Licensing Department

Legal and Democratic Services

Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership

Southampton City Council

- martin.grout@southampton.gov.uk
  Tel: 023 8083 2749
- Fax: 023 8083 4061
- web: www.southampton.gov.uk/licensing
- post: Licensing Southampton City Council Civic Centre, Southampton, SO14 7LY

From: Simon Bishop [simon.bishop@rank.com] Sent: 24 September 2014 16:00 To: Grout, Martin Subject: Stage 2 Timetable

Dear Martin,

Following a meeting with the developer of Royal Pier today it is clear that the level of detail about the scheme required for a Stage 2 submission is not available at this time.

As a result I write to formally ask for a delay to the commencement of Stage 2 proceedings to allow for the detail of the scheme to be further advanced. This will I believe be of benefit to all parties involved and produce a superior development.

I understand you have a meeting arranged for early next week with the developer and no doubt they will be making a similar request. In terms of timing we would request a minimum extension to February 2015.

Yours sincerely

Simon

Simon Bishop Director of Acquisitions The Rank Group Plc Mobile 07860 910301

E mail from Martin Grout to Simon Bishop at Grosvenor Casinos Ltd 30<sup>th</sup> September 2014 15:40

Dear Simon and Elaine

Please find attached the draft notices that will be sent to you once they are formally signed. I apologise for the delay in getting these to you and I would be grateful if you could check that they match your expectations as soon as possible. I will then ask the Licensing Manager to sign them and send the copies out to the relevant people and bodies.

You have enquired about the Council postponing the commencement of Stage 2 and I should say that the Council are minded to agree to this. We will be in a position to confirm this hopefully by the end of the week and would be looking to commence Stage 2 in April 2015. We have been in discussion with the developer and understand the reasons why a delay should be implemented. I assume that you would have no objection to this proposal but please let me know if you do.

Kind regards

Martin

Martin Grout Locum Licensing Officer Licensing Department Legal and Democratic Services Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership Southampton City Council

martin.grout@southampton.gov.uk

Tel: 023 8083 2749

- Fax: 023 8083 4061
- web: www.southampton.gov.uk/licensing
- post: Licensing Southampton City Council

Civic Centre, Southampton, SO14 7LY

Please Note: - This email is confidential but may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 1998 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you are not the person or organisation it was meant for, apologies, please ignore it, delete it and notify us. SCC does not make legally binding agreements or accept formal notices/proceedings by email. E-mails may be monitored.

This e-mail (and its attachments) is intended only for the use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged and/or confidential. If it has come to you in error you must take no action based on it, nor must you copy or show it to anyone

A Think of the environment...please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to

Tue 07/10/2014 08:04 Grout, Martin <u>Martin.Grout@southampton.gov.uk</u> Casino Licence Process Stage 2 To: 'simon.bishop@rank.com' Dear Simon Please find attached two letters concerning a possible delay in the commencement of Stage 2 of the process. I would be grateful if I could have your comments as a matter of urgency and preferably no later than Friday 10th October.

Kind regards

Martin Grout

# NOTE OF MEETING AT SCC CIVIC CENTRE TUESDAY 30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2014 CONCERNING STAGE 2 OF THE CASINO LICENCE PROCESS.

#### PRESENT:

Richard Ivory	SCC Head of Legal and Democratic Services	
•	0	
Martin Grout	SCC Locum Licensing Officer	
Emma Meredith	SCC Economic Development	
Andrew Cotton	Solicitor for Kymeira	
Pram Nayak	Lucent Group	
Ann Bartaby	Terence O'Rourke	
Julia Jardine	Terence O'Rourke	

- Mr Nayak stated that they had been in discussions with all the 4 operators that submitted Stage 1 applications. The clear message that they have had from the majority of them is that they need a significant level of detail to be able to complete the Stage 2 application requirements, in particular there are detailed questions asking about the relationship between the proposed development and casino.
- He pointed out that the current timetable was set c. March 2013 and at a time when it was reasonably anticipated that the CLDA would be signed by no later than Sept 2013 and therefore the April 14 Stage 1 start was entirely sensible. However given the additional time required to deal with, amongst other things, Associated British Ports' points and Lucent Fund matters, the CLDA was not signed until late Feb 2014. The Casino timetable was however inadvertently overlooked and it was not until very recently that operators understood what was required at Stage 2.
- RPW (the Developer) is currently focussed on key obligations under the CLDA to move the Red Funnel ferry terminal to the Trafalgar Dock site. This is, he said, a fairly complex exercise and it is their priority obligation, along with land reclamation. The land reclamation area will house the commercial development including the casino. In addition they are reviewing and developing the indicative mixed use commercial scheme and have started market discussions. The Casino needs to dovetail into the scheme in terms of both masterplan and commercially and will need to therefore get the view of all potential operators on proximity/location.
- The original timetable set allowed for a c. 6 month period between the signing of the CLDA and the start of Stage 1, this time period is what they require currently to be able to work up a scheme with each operator to support their stage 2 submission. They would therefore hope that the council would be mindful to start the Stage 2 process in April 2015.

- Providing the additional time would ensure:
  - Robust and high quality proposals are provided that will better inform the Stage 2 scrutiny process and deal with a wide range of matters to a greater degree than is likely to be the case under the current timetable, (and to ensure that the casino aspect of the development was effectively controlled in terms of any clear effective licensing conditions applied to a detailed comprehensive development )
- All proposals would be reviewed in advance by the Regeneration Team on the following basis:
  - The quality of the proposed development,
  - Planning considerations and
  - Proposals complied with any CLDA obligations, prior to the scrutiny of the Stage 2 Panel to ensure that each scheme met with licensing obligations.
- RPW would have a high degree certainty of being able to fund and deliver agreed regeneration outcomes via the final scheme with the operator selected by the Council's Licensing Panel.
- Each applicant has an equal chance to secure the award of a licence
- The council securing the greatest benefit to its preferred site from the licensing process

Item 6 LEGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES RICHARD IVORY, Solicitor, Head of Legal and Democratic Services Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership

Southampton City Council Licensing Services Civic Centre Southampton SO14 7LY Direct dial: 023 8083 2749 Our ref: 2014/02562/70SLCP Your ref:

Grosvenor Casinos Ltd Statesman House Stafferton Way Maidenhead SL6 1AY

7<sup>th</sup> October 2014

Dear Sirs,

### GAMBLING ACT 2005 – ROYAL PIER; MAYFLOWER PARK

Further to my e mail on 30<sup>th</sup> September I am now writing to formally ask you for your comments on the Council's proposal and to put the matter into slightly more context. As Grosvenor had originally requested a delay we would hope that this course of action will be acceptable to yourselves.

On Tuesday 30<sup>th</sup> September Richard Ivory and Martin Grout met with the developers behind the Royal Pier Waterfront development. Also present at the meeting was

Emma Meredith	SCC Economic Development
Andrew Cotton	Solicitor for Kymeira
Pram Nayak	Lucent Group
Ann Bartaby	Terence O'Rourke
Julia Jardine	Terence O'Rourke



The meeting had been called at the request of the developer to assess the current position of the scheme given changes in personnel at the developers. A note of the discussion was made and is attached but these are not a verbatim account of the meeting.

Mr Nayak pointed out that they were not in a position to provide applicants who had expressed an interest in the casino component of the development with the detail plans that Stage 2 requires. They would not be in such a position for, they estimated, another 6 months and accordingly asked the Council to consider deferring the commencement of the stage 2 for that period.

As I mentioned in my earlier message, the Council would be prepared to do this on the following basis:

- The Council had already been approached by Aspers and Grosvenor with a request to defer the start of Stage 2 for the very same reasons that the developer was advancing.
- These requests were not solicited by the Council nor was the Council aware of the issues previously. The application pack indicated that any timetable is provisional and not set in stone.
- The issue is a significant issue one which affects each of the applicants.
- The purpose of the competition is to raise benefits for SCC and it has become clear that for that to happen on the Royal Pier site would necessitate some delay.

There has been a criticism that this is another delay in a process that has taken years to come to fruition. It is precisely for that reason that the Council does not wish to jeopardise all the hard work that has taken place to reach today's position by forging ahead when it would not be able to achieve the maximum benefit from the scheme, should the winning scheme be at Royal Pier.

However, the Council has not yet made a decision on the matter. I would ask that any representation to the proposed course is set out with full particularity. In particular the representation should make it clear whether it is suggested that there is a legal impediment to this suggested course, and if so this should be set out in full so that the Council may take advice upon it immediately.

MKond

Locum Licensing Officer for Head of Legal and Democratic Services

Item 7 LEGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES RICHARD IVORY, Solicitor, Head of Legal and Democratic Services Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership

Southampton City Council Licensing Services Civic Centre Southampton SO14 7LY Direct dial: 023 8083 2749

2014/02564/70SLCP

Please address all correspondence to: Licensing – Southampton City Council, PO Box 1767, Southampton, SO18 9LA



E-mail: martin.grout@southampton.g ov.uk Please ask for: Martin Grout

Grosvenor Casinos Ltd Statesman House Stafferton Way Maidenhead

SL6 1AY

Our ref:

Your ref:

7<sup>th</sup> October 2014

Dear Sirs,

# **GAMBLING ACT 2005 – G CASINO LEISUREWORLD**

Further to my e mail on 30<sup>th</sup> September I am now writing to formally ask you for your comments on the Council's proposal and to put the matter into slightly more context. As Grosvenor had originally requested a delay we would hope that this course of action will be acceptable to yourselves.

On Tuesday 30<sup>th</sup> September Richard Ivory and Martin Grout met with the developers behind the Royal Pier Waterfront development. Also present at the meeting was

Emma Meredith	SCC Economic Development
Andrew Cotton	Solicitor for Kymeira
Pram Nayak	Lucent Group
Ann Bartaby	Terence O'Rourke
Julia Jardine	Terence O'Rourke

The meeting had been called at the request of the developer to assess the current position of the scheme given changes in personnel at the developers.

A note of the discussion was made and is attached but these are not a verbatim account of the meeting.

Mr Nayak pointed out that they were not in a position to provide applicants who had expressed an interest in the casino component of the development with the detail plans that Stage 2 requires. They would not be in such a position for, they estimated, another 6 months and accordingly asked the Council to consider deferring the commencement of the stage 2 for that period.

As I mentioned in my earlier message, the Council would be prepared to do this on the following basis:

- The Council had already been approached by Aspers and Grosvenor with a request to defer the start of Stage 2 for the very same reasons that the developer was advancing.
- These requests were not solicited by the Council nor was the Council aware of the issues previously. The application pack indicated that any timetable is provisional and not set in stone.
- The issue is a significant issue one which affects each of the applicants.
- The purpose of the competition is to raise benefits for SCC and it has become clear that for that to happen on the Royal Pier site would necessitate some delay.

There has been a criticism that this is another delay in a process that has taken years to come to fruition. It is precisely for that reason that the Council does not wish to jeopardise all the hard work that has taken place to reach today's position by forging ahead when it would not be able to achieve the maximum benefit from the scheme, should the winning scheme be at Royal Pier.

However, the Council has not yet made a decision on the matter. I would ask that any representation to the proposed course is set out with full particularity. In particular the representation should make it clear whether it is suggested that there is a legal impediment to this suggested course, and if so this should be set out in full so that the Council may take advice upon it immediately.

MKcon

Locum Licensing Officer for Head of Legal and Democratic Services

Item 8 LEGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES RICHARD IVORY, Solicitor, Head of Legal and Democratic Services Southempton and Eastleigh Licensing Part

Southampton and Eastleigh Licensing Partnership

Southampton City Council Licensing Services Civic Centre Southampton SO14 7LY Direct dial: 023 8083 2749 Please address all correspondence to: Licensing – Southampton City Council, PO Box 1767, Southampton, SO18 9LA



E-mail: martin.grout@southampton. gov.uk Please ask for: Martin Grout

Our ref:

Your ref:

Grosvenor Casinos Ltd Statesman House Stafferton Way Maidenhead SL6 1AY

10<sup>th</sup> November 2014

Dear Sirs,

## GAMBLING ACT 2005 – GROSVENOR CASINO; ROYAL PIER; MAYFLOWER PARK

I refer to our earlier correspondence and apologise for the delay in responding. The Council has received a number of representations from the respective applicants and has taken advice on the matter.

We have decided to convene a Licensing Committee meeting to consider the future conduct of the competition. We are in the process of confirming the date and we anticipate this occurring in mid December although I am sure that you will appreciate there are a number of diaries to check for availability. Each applicant will have an opportunity to address the Committee although we will be asking that written submissions are made in advance such that they may be included within the final version of the committee report.

It will be for the Committee to decide on matters such as whether to postpone the commencement of Stage 2.

So that the matter is conducted fairly and transparently, we proposed to include in the report all the recent correspondence with all parties which deals with procedural matters. We do not believe that any commercial confidentiality attaches to it. Moreover, Stage 2 of the competition has not yet begun, and so we can see no basis for cloaking any of the correspondence in confidentiality. Should you take a different view in relation to correspondence with you, please will you let us know as soon as possible, together with the legal basis for any submission that the correspondence should not be included.

Mkound

Locum Licensing Officer for Head of Legal and Democratic Services